IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JEFFERY MARTENS	SEN,)			
	Plaintiff,	Case No.	17 C 1494	
)			
v.)			
)			
CHICAGO STOCK EXCHANGE,		m		
	Defendant.)	The Honora	The Honorable Judge Shadu	
)			
	ý			

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.17

NOW COMES counsel for Plaintiff, JEFFREY MARTENSEN, Richard J. Gonzalez and Jaz Park of the Law Offices of IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law, and pursuant to Rule 83.17 of the Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, hereby move this Honorable Court for leave to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff.

In support of this motion, counsel for Plaintiff state as follows:

This action alleging a wrongful termination of employment in violation of the *Dodd-Frank Act*, 15 U.S.C. Section 78u-6 et. al, that was dismissed by this Court following Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim.

During the course of preparation of the Complaint, during settlement discussions, and subsequent to this Court's dismissal Order, counsel for Plaintiff have discovered and determined that continued representation of Plaintiff is impracticable and that withdrawal is appropriate at least pursuant to Rule 1.16 (b) (4) (persisting in a course of action with which a lawyer reasonably believes is inappropriate and with which counsel has a fundamental disagreement and

which violates Section 3.3(a)(1) (duty of candor) and 3.3 (a) and (d) (duty of fairness to opposing

counsel).

In particular, fundamental disagreements arose between counsel and Plaintiff with respect

to a variety of issues including the allegations made within the Complaint, the propriety of

seeking to vacate this Court's Order and seek to file an Amended Complaint, and the appropriate

means, if desired by Plaintiff, of challenging this Court's Order.

In further support of this motion, counsel attach an Affidavit hereto.

Counsel allege that no prejudice would result from allowing it to withdraw at this

juncture. Further Plaintiff has filed an Appearance on his own behalf in conjunction with his July

3, 2017 Motion seeking a vacating of this Court's Order.

WHEREFORE, counsel for Plaintiff seek an Order granting them leave to withdraw as

counsel for Plaintiff instanter and entering an extension of the discovery deadline in order to

enable Plaintiff to seek other counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

By:

Richard J. Gonzalez

Jaz Park

RICHARD J. GONZALEZ Attorney for Plaintiff LAW OFFICES CHICAGO-KENT COLLEGE OF LAW 565 W. Adams Street, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60661-3691

Tel.: (312) 906-5079 Fax: (312) 906-5299 rgonzale@kentlaw.iit.edu

JAZ PARK Attorney for Plaintiff LAW OFFICES CHICAGO-KENT COLLEGE OF LAW 565 W. Adams Street, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60661-3691 Tel.: (872) 588-0440

Fax: (312) 906-5299 jaz.park@kentlaw.edu

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, a non-attorney, states that she caused a copy of the foregoing MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.17 to be served upon the party listed below by placing a copy of same, in the U.S. Mail chute located at 565 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661 on July 5, 2017 at or before 5:00 p.m.:

Darin Matthew Williams
Laner Muchin Dombrow Becker Levin & Tominberg, Ltd.
515 North State Street, Suite 2800
Chicago, Illinois 60654
dwilliams@lanermuchin.com

Eileen Casey/